

1 **PREFACE**

2
3 Authors: Thomas R. Karl, Jerry Meehl, Christopher D. Miller, and
4 William L. Murray

5
6 According to the National Research Council, “an essential
7 component of any research program is the periodic synthesis of
8 cumulative knowledge and the evaluation of the implications of
9 that knowledge for scientific research and policy formulation.”

10 The U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) is helping to
11 meet that fundamental need through a series of 21 “synthesis and
12 assessment products” (SAPs). A key component of the *CCSP*
13 *Strategic Plan* (released July 2003), the S&A products integrate
14 research results focused on important science issues and questions
15 frequently raised by decision makers.

16
17 The SAPs support informed discussion and decisions by
18 policymakers, resource managers stakeholders, the media, and the
19 general public. They are also used to help define and set the future
20 direction and priorities of the program. The products help meet the

21 requirements of the Global Change Research Act of 1990. The law
22 directs agencies to “produce information readily usable by
23 policymakers attempting to formulate effective strategies for
24 preventing, mitigating, and adapting to the effects of global
25 change” and to undertake periodic scientific assessments. This
26 SAP (3.3) provides an in-depth assessment of the state of our
27 knowledge about changes in weather and climate extremes in
28 North America (and U.S. territories), where we live, work, and
29 grow much of our food.

30

31 The impact of weather and climate extremes can be severe and
32 wide-ranging although, in some cases, they can also be beneficial.
33 They affect all sectors of the economy and the environment,
34 including human health and well-being. During the period 1980-
35 2006, the U.S. experienced 70 weather-related disasters in which
36 overall damages exceeded \$1 billion at the time of the event.
37 Clearly, the direct impact of extreme weather and climate events
38 on the U.S. economy is substantial.

39

40 There is scientific evidence that a warming world will be
41 accompanied by changes in the intensity, duration, frequency, and
42 spatial extent of weather and climate extremes. The
43 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fourth
44 Assessment Report has evaluated extreme weather and climate
45 events on a global basis in the context of observed and projected
46 changes in climate. However, prior to SAP 3.3 there has not been
47 a specific assessment of observed and projected changes in
48 weather and climate extremes across North America (including the
49 U.S. territories in the Caribbean Sea and the Pacific Ocean), where
50 observing systems are among the best in the world and the
51 extremes of weather and climate are some of the most notable
52 occurring across the globe.

53

54 Weather extremes as used in SAP 3.3 signify individual weather
55 events that are unusual in their occurrence or have destructive
56 potential, such as torrential rainfall, hurricanes, severe winter

57 storms, heat waves, and similar kinds of events. The term climate
58 extremes is used to represent the same type of events, but viewed
59 over seasons (e.g., droughts), or longer time periods. In this
60 assessment we are particularly interested in whether or not climate
61 extremes are changing in terms of a variety of characteristics
62 including intensity, duration, frequency, or spatial extent, and how
63 they are likely to evolve in the future. Weather extremes in this
64 assessment are quantified and classified in terms of these
65 characteristics. It is often very difficult to attribute a specific
66 cause to a particular climate or weather extreme e.g., a single
67 drought episode or a single severe hurricane. It is more feasible to
68 attribute the changing risk of extreme events to specific causes.
69 For this reason, this assessment focuses on the possible changes of
70 past and future statistics of weather and climate extremes.

71

72 In doing any assessment, it is helpful to precisely convey the
73 degree of certainty of various findings and projections. For this
74 reason, a lexicon expressing the likelihood of each key finding is

75 presented below and used throughout this report. There are
76 numerous choices for categories of likelihood and appropriate
77 wording to define these categories. CCSP SAP 5.2, currently
78 under review, but scheduled for public release before SAP 3.3 is
79 finalized, provides useful guidance. Additionally the community
80 of scientists and policy-makers familiar with the IPCC assessments
81 provide yet another consistent way to express likelihood
82 statements. The US National Assessment published in 2000
83 provides a similar approach to likelihood statements. Figure 1
84 provides the common terms used in this report to express
85 likelihood of occurrence based on experience with previous
86 likelihood statements. Because of the nature of the topic being
87 consider our likelihood statements do not have discrete boundaries,
88 unlike in IPCC, but characterize likelihood in more general terms
89 (Fig. 1). Statements without such likelihood qualifiers are implied
90 to be certain.

91

92



93

94

95 **Figure 1** Language in this Synthesis and Assessment
 96 Product used to express the team’s expert judgment of
 97 likelihood.

98

99 The material that this SAP considered included peer-reviewed
 100 articles that were submitted for review by August 15 2007 and
 101 accepted for publication by December 1st 2007. Observations and
 102 model output used in SAP 3.3 are all openly available from various
 103 federal agencies.

104

105

106

107 **Dedication**

108 This Climate Change and Synthesis Product is dedicated to
 109 the memory of our colleague, friend and co-author Dr. Miguel
 110 Cortez whose untimely passing during the writing of the report was
 111 a loss to us all.