

New Emissions Scenarios in AR4 and for AR5

Neboj_a Naki_enovi_

Vienna University of Technology 


International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis 

naki@iiasa.ac.at

Emissions Scenarios

- Scenarios are descriptions of possible future developments.
- They are *visions* of how main driving forces underlying the salient future developments might evolve and interact with each.
- They are also descriptions of what such developments might imply about possible future states and how the near-term decisions might affect these.
- Scenarios are context specific.
- How they are developed and used depends very much on what the main purpose is and what are the main questions they are intended to inform.

Previous IPCC Scenarios and Future Outlook



INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE (IPCC)



Characteristics of IPCC Scenarios

| | SA90 | IS92 | SRES | TAR | AR4* |
|--------------|--------|------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Scenarios | 4 (1f) | 6 | 40 6i+4n | 80 4s+4n | 20 2n+2 _{new} |
| Models | 2 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
| Population | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 (+) |
| GDP | 1(2) | 6 | 6:20+4 _{ppp} | 6 (+) | 5 (+) |
| GHGs | 4+2 | 6+4 | 6+4 | CO ₂ | 6+4 |
| Intervention | 2 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 12 ~5,4.5,3W/m ² |

AR4 Illustrative Scenarios*

| Reference 8 | Stabilization <5W/m2 | Stabilization ~4.5W/m2 | Stabilization <3W/m2 | Stabilization >3W/m2 |
|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| AIM | | AIM 4.5 | | |
| IPAC | | IPAC 4.5 | | |
| MiniCam | | MiniCam 4.5 | | |
| MIT | | MIT Mitigation | | |
| MESSAGE 1 | | MESSAGE 4.6 | | |
| MESSAGE 2 | | MESSAGE 4.6 | MESSAGE 3.2 | |
| IMAGE 1 | IMAGE 5.3 | IMAGE 4.5 | IMAGE 3.7 | |
| IMAGE 2 | | | | IMAGE 2.9 |


Summary of Scenario Characteristics

| | 1800 | Factor | 1900 | Factor | 2000 | Factor | 2100 |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|------------|------|--------------|----------|
| Population (billion) | 1 | x1.6 | 1.6 | x3.8 | 6 | x1.2 x2.5 | 7-15 |
| GDP PPP (trillion 1990\$) | 0.5 | x4 | 2 | x18 | 36 | <x3 x18 | 85-530 |
| Primary Energy (EJ) | 13 | x3.3 | 40 | x11 | 440 | x1.1 x6.1 | 500-2700 |
| CO ₂ Emissions (GtC) | 0-0.3 | x3.0 | 0.5-1.0 | x6- x12 | 6.4 | <0.5 x5 | 3-33 |


Baseline Emissions Scenarios

- SRES scenarios are widely used for the assessment of climate change and impacts (WG1&WG2)
- WG3 conclusions comparing new baseline emissions scenario literature with SRES (Ch 3):
 - ◆ No significant change in ranges (uncertainty) of future emissions and underlying driving forces compared to SRES
 - ◆ Main difference concerns downward correction of demographic projections (not yet implemented in the majority of new emissions scenarios)
 - ◆ The majority of the new emissions scenarios employ MER-based GDP assumptions. A few studies in the literature reporting PPP, indicate that the impact on emissions is small (problems: lack of comprehensive PPP data)


Global Population Projections




Global Population Projections




Global Population Projections




Global GDP Scenarios



CO₂ Emissions Baselines



Sulfur Emissions Baselines



Emissions pathways for alternative ranges of CO₂ and CO₂-eq. stabilization targets.

All stabilization scenarios in the scenario database

| Scenario Category | CO ₂ -only concentrations by 2100 | CO ₂ -equivalent concentrations by 2100 | Year when global emissions peak | Year when global emissions fall below 2000 levels | Change in global emissions in 2050 relative to 2000 levels | Change in global emissions in 2100 relative to 2000 levels |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | ppmv | ppmv | year | year | % | % |
| The 90th percentile range of the stabilisation scenarios in the literature | | | | | | |
| A | < 420 | <510 | 2000 - 2040 | 2000 - 2060 | -86 to +18 | -161 to -67 |
| B | 420 - 490 | 510-590 | 2000 - 2050 | 2000 - 2060 | -41 to +33 | -91 to -38 |
| C | 490 - 570 | 590-710 | 2010 - 2080 | 2010 - dnr | -3 to +73 | -85 to +47 |
| D | 570 - 660 | 710-860 | 2030 - 2100 | 2060 - dnr | +27 to +116 | -24 to +81 |
| E | > 660 | >860 | 2040 - 2090 | 2100 - dnr | +67 to + 143 | -5 to +186 |





Stabilization and Mitigation Scenarios

- Major difference to TAR: studies suggest that it is technically feasible to stabilize GHG concentrations at levels significantly lower than TAR (450 CO₂-eq.)
- Most of the low scenarios imply a temporal overshoot of the target
- Potential challenge for consistency – climate outcomes of these low stabilization scenarios are not analyzed in WGI (TS and SPM)
- New multigas literature indicates that for a specific stabilization target, emissions might peak later in time compared to TAR





Technology

- Improved understanding of the complexity of the multiple driving forces of technological change (supply push, demand pull, R&D, and deployment policies complement each other) Ch2 & Ch11
- A wide portfolio of emissions reductions measures/technologies are necessary to achieve stabilization cost-effectively. TS
- Technology diffusion is characterized by inertia and “lock-in” – challenge for low stabilization, which requires emissions to peak over the next decades. Ch 2,3 & Ch11
- Large short-term (2030) economic potential for reductions at relatively low prices (< \$20/tCO₂-eq). Whether it will be deployed depends on investment decisions and policy incentives. Ch 3-10





CO₂ Emissions Baselines







CO₂ Emissions (Hypothetical)




CO₂ Emissions



CO₂ Stabilization at 550ppm



Global cumulative carbon emissions by 2100 in the scenarios developed since 2001



Linking short-term to long-term:

Table 3.17: Global Emission Reductions in 2030 by Sector for Lower Stabilization Targets

| Model | MiniCAM | MERGE | IMAGE ^b | IMAGE ^b | MESSAGE | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|
| Model Time Horizon | Long-term | Long-term | Long-term | Long-term | Long-term | |
| Stabilization Target | 3.5 W/m ² from pre-Industrial | 3.4 W/m ² from pre-Industrial | 3.7 W/m ² from pre-Industrial | 3.0 W/m ² from pre-Industrial | B2 Scenario, 3.2 W/m ² from pre-Industrial | |
| Marginal Cost (2000 U.S. \$/tCO ₂ eq) | \$53 | \$192 | \$48 | \$112 | \$115 | |
| Reference Case Emissions in 2030 Global Total All Gases (MtCO ₂ eq) | 54,217 | 47,243 | 59,735 | 59,735 | 57,801 | |
| Sector Mitigation Potential in 2030 (Total All Gases MtCO ₂ eq) | Energy Supply: Electric | 11,945 | 9,533 | 3,853 | 8,736 | 4,296 |
| | Energy Supply: Non-Electric | 3,308 | 3,188 | 2,252 | 3,669 | 2,242 |
| | Transportation Demand | | Included in another sector | 1,491 | 2,840 | 2,238 |
| | Buildings Demand | 627 | Included in another sector | | | |
| | Industry Demand | 1,372 | Included in another sector | 522 | 1,000 | 1,420 |
| | Industry Production | 5,222 | | 1,612 | 3,188 | 795 |
| | Agriculture | 270 | | 1,126 | 2,024 | 811 |
| | Forestry | 604 | 3,580 ^a | 980 | 1,208 | 1,656 |
| | Waste Management | 0 | | 173 | 247 | 604 |
| | Global Total | 23,848 | 16,302 | 13,039 | 24,018 | 14,959 |
| Mitigation as % of Reference Emissions | 44% | 35% | 28% | 40% | 26% | |

Synergies and trade-offs with other policies

- Increasing evidence that climate mitigation measures may create synergies for sustainable development and other policy objectives
- Potential synergies are:
 - ◆ Reduction of local air pollution and related health impacts
 - ◆ Improvement of energy security
 - ◆ Avoid displacement of local populations
 - ◆ Benefits for biodiversity, soil, water conservation (avoided deforestation)
 - ◆ Reduction of wasteland and soil degradation, manage water run-off, benefit rural economies, etc.. (forestation)
- Potential tradeoffs exist as well
 - ◆ E.g., loss in biodiversity and competition for agricultural land (bioenergy)

TGNES Recommendations

The three IPCC WG should use a common base:

- The assessments of impacts, adaptation and vulnerability should be consistent with views on the evolution of climate change, which in turn should be consistent with views on emissions trajectories.
- The assessment of emissions should be consistent with views of socio-economic drivers and land-use change and take account of feedbacks from climate change impacts and policies to reduce them.
- Finally, impacts, adaptation and vulnerability are in their turn dependent on those socio-economic drivers and land-use change.

TGNES Recommendations

Three options for the role of IPCC in the development of scenarios:

- **B1A:** Development left to the scientific community (may or may not self-organise, eg EMF)
- **B1B:** IPCC involved in facilitating (catalyzing) the establishment of a coordinating mechanism for development of new scenarios
- **B2:** IPCC provides coordination of scenario development

IPCC Plenary Decision

- The Panel recognized that the development of scenarios for AR5 would be undertaken by the scientific community. The IPCC may catalyze such work so as to promote its readiness in time for the AR5 cycle
- Prepare a Technical Paper to summarize relevant material from the AR4 and to identify a small number of “benchmark” emission scenarios for potential use by climate modeling groups IPCC; Steering Committee to organize a meeting involving relevant communities
- IPCC to catalyze the establishment of a coordinating mechanism (The Earth System Science Partnership: DIVERSITAS, IGBP, IHDP and WCRP)

What's New?

- New demographic perspectives (lowering of “high” population projections)
- Low (EU) targets included (2°C)
- Consistent multi-gas multi-sector analysis (land use conflicts, impacts,..)
- New technological options included (e.g. biomass CCS)
- Assessment of impacts (climate AND mitigation)
- “Downscaling”: spatially explicit scenario indicators available (POP, GDP, FE)
- Linkage to national policy frameworks (GAINS)



 naki@iiasa.ac.at